

**COOK COUNTY
MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL
HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN
VOLUME 2 - Municipal Annexes**

Melrose Park Annex

FINAL

July 2019

Prepared for:



Cook County
Department of Homeland Security and Emergency Management
69 W. Washington St., Suite 2600
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Toni Preckwinkle
President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

William Barnes
Executive Director
Cook County Department of Homeland
Security & Emergency Management

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| Hazard Mitigation Point of Contact | 3 |
| Jurisdiction Profile | 4 |
| Capability Assessment | 6 |
| Jurisdiction-Specific Natural Hazard Event | 11 |
| Hazard Risk Ranking..... | 13 |
| Mitigation Strategies and Actions..... | 14 |
| New Mitigation Actions | 17 |
| Ongoing Mitigation Actions | 23 |
| Completed Mitigation Actions..... | 27 |
| Future Needs to Better Understand Risk/Vulnerability | 29 |
| Additional Comments | 30 |
| HAZUS-MH Risk Assessment Results | 31 |
| Hazard Mapping | 34 |

Hazard Mitigation Point of Contact

| Primary Point of Contact | Alternate Point of Contact |
|--|--|
| Philip C. Schwartz, Chief of Police 2309 Main Street Melrose Park, IL 60160 Telephone: 708-649-8000 chief@mpdhs.org | George Stevenson, Commander 2309 Main Street Melrose Park, IL 60160 Telephone 708- 649-8053 training@mpdhs.org |

Jurisdiction Profile

The following is a summary of key information about the jurisdiction and its history:

- **Date of Incorporation:** 1882
- **Current Population:** 25,411
- **Population Growth:** Looking at the Cohort-Component projection for Melrose Park, we see that the population between ages 20- 24 will continue to be the largest cohort in 2030, and the number of females age 70 will continue to outnumber the males in that age group; however, the 2030 projection shows that there will be an increase in the population cohort between 60-70, as well as a substantial increase in children under 4 years. Census data also shows that the average family size in Melrose Park, 3.5 people, already higher than the national average, 2.6 people, might increase over the next 20 years. From 2010 to 2016, the population of Melrose Park has been relatively consistent.
- **Location and Description:** The Village of Melrose Park is located within Cook County, Illinois, approximately 12 miles west of the Chicago Loop. The municipality, 4.24 sq. mi total, is bordered by River Forest, Maywood, Bellwood, Northlake, Franklin Park, River Grove and Berkley, Illinois. North Avenue roughly bisects the municipality in the East-West direction. The municipality's central location is near a major expressway system, O'Hare Airport, and downtown Chicago, all accessible by the Metra and bus routes running through the area. The Maywood Metra station on the Union Pacific West Line (UP-W) is located along Melrose Park's southern border. This connects the municipality East-West between downtown Chicago and Elburn. The Metra-rail website lists connecting services to Pace Routes: 303,309, and 313. The 303 connects Melrose Park residents to the CTA blue line at its North and South terminations. The other two Pace routes connect with the CTA Green Line at several stations. Thatcher Woods and the Des Plaines River form the Eastern border of the municipality.
- **Brief History:** Melrose Park's history began in 1836, when Simon Z. Haven obtained a patent from the U.S. land office for 960 acres of Illinois land (at \$1.25 per acre), after it became a state in 1818. In 1849, the area was established as part of the Proviso Township. This development was followed shortly thereafter by the Ganela and Chicago Union Railroad, the first railroad to stretch west from Chicago, as well as construction of the first railroad bridge to cross the Des Plaines River. After falling on tough times financially in 1864, Haven's land was split into five, "lots" and put up for sale. Three of these lots were purchased by the Melrose Realty Company, established in 1871 by Allen Eaton and Edward Cuyler. These Chicago developers were two of the seven men who first established the Village of Maywood to the east of what is now Melrose Park. These three lots became the Melrose Subdivision and Town in 1871. Melrose Park's connection to the early railway system in the United States initiated the municipality's industrial history, a significant chapter in its 20th century development. Melrose Park experienced significant growth after World War I as an industrial suburb home to several large steel and construction manufacturers as well as a large lumber company. In 1926, the Proviso Freight Yards opened, reinforcing Melrose Park.
- **Development Trends:** Melrose Park's nickname is, "Corporate King of the Suburbs." Corporations like Alberto-Culver, Wilson Sporting Goods, Benjamin Moore Paint, Jewel-Osco,

Home Juice, Zenith, International Harvester, Navistar and many others have made or still call Melrose Park their home.” In early 2019, Melrose Park officials announced that the village has annexed the Maywood Park Racetrack located at 8600 W. North Ave., which closed in 2015 after filing a Chapter 11 bankruptcy, making way for a major redevelopment of the site that would entail demolishing some structures on the property. Village officials expect the project to generate an estimated 400 construction jobs and up to 700 permanent jobs once the development is finished.

Capability Assessment

The assessment of the jurisdiction’s legal and regulatory capabilities is presented in the *Legal and Regulatory Capability Table* below. The assessment of the jurisdiction’s fiscal capabilities is presented in the *Fiscal Capability Table* below. The assessment of the jurisdiction’s administrative and technical capabilities is presented in the *Administrative and Technical Capability Table* below. Information on the community’s National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) compliance is presented in the *National Flood Insurance Program Compliance Table* below. Classifications under various community mitigation programs are presented in the *Community Classifications Table* below.

| TABLE: LEGAL AND REGULATORY CAPABILITY | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| | Local Authority | State or Federal Prohibitions | Other Jurisdictional Authority | State Mandated | Comments |
| Codes, Ordinances & Requirements | | | | | |
| Building Code | Yes | No | No | Yes | International Residential Code, International Building Code, 2006 |
| Zonings | Yes | No | No | Yes | Title 17 – Zoning, 2013 |
| Subdivisions | Yes | No | No | Yes | Title 16 – Subdivisions |
| Stormwater Management | Yes | No | No | Yes | Title 15.68 Flood Damage Prevention Regulations, 2013 |
| Post Disaster Recovery | No | No | Yes | No | |
| Real Estate Disclosure | No | No | Yes | Yes | (765 ILCS 77/) Residential Real Property Disclosure Act. |
| Growth Management | No | No | No | No | |
| Site Plan Review | Yes | No | No | No | Title 15.04.160, 2013 |

| | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------------------------------|
| Public Health and Safety | No | No | No | No | Cook County DPH |
| Environmental Protection | No | No | No | No | |
| Planning Documents | | | | | |
| General or Comprehensive Plan | Yes | No | No | No | |
| <i>Is the plan equipped to provide linkage to this mitigation plan? Yes, Plan includes land use component</i> | | | | | |
| Floodplain or Basin Plan | No | No | No | No | |
| Stormwater Plan | Yes | No | Yes | No | MWRD Detailed Watershed Plan |
| Capital Improvement Plan | Yes | No | No | No | |
| <i>What types of capital facilities does the plan address? Village owned facilities and Infrastructure</i> | | | | | |
| <i>How often is the plan revised/updated? Annually</i> | | | | | |
| Habitat Conservation Plan | No | No | No | No | |
| Economic Development Plan | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | CCD of Planning |
| Shoreline Management Plan | No | No | No | No | N/A |
| Response/Recovery Planning | | | | | |
| Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Cook County DHSEM |
| Threat and Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment | No | No | Yes | No | Cook County DHSEM Preparing THIRA |
| Terrorism Plan | No | No | Yes | Yes | Cook County DHSEM |
| Post-Disaster Recovery Plan | No | No | | | |
| Continuity of Operations Plan | No | Yes | Yes | No | Cook County DHSEM |

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|----|-----|-----|----|----------------------|
| Public Health Plans | No | Yes | Yes | No | Cook County DHSEM |
|---------------------|----|-----|-----|----|----------------------|

| TABLE: FISCAL CAPABILITY | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Financial Resources | Accessible or Eligible to Use? |
| Community Development Block Grants | Yes |
| Capital Improvements Project Funding | Yes |
| Authority to Levy Taxes for Specific Purposes | Yes |
| User Fees for Water, Sewer, Gas or Electric Service | Yes |
| Incur Debt through General Obligation Bonds | Yes |
| Incur Debt through Special Tax Bonds | Yes |
| Incur Debt through Private Activity Bonds | Yes |
| Withhold Public Expenditures in Hazard-Prone Areas | Yes |
| State Sponsored Grant Programs | Yes |
| Development Impact Fees for Homebuyers or Developers | Yes |

| TABLE: ADMINISTRATIVE AND TECHNICAL CAPABILITY | | |
|---|------------|--|
| Staff/Personnel Resources | Available? | Department/Agency/Position |
| Planners or engineers with knowledge of land development and land management practices | Yes | Building, Public Works, Engineering |
| Engineers or professionals trained in building or infrastructure construction practices | Yes | Building, Public Works, Engineering |
| Planners or engineers with an understanding of natural hazards | Yes | Building, Public Works, Engineering, Homeland Security |
| Staff with training in benefit/cost analysis | Yes | Finance Dept |
| Surveyors | No | |
| Personnel skilled or trained in GIS applications | Yes | Cook County GIS Consortium, Engineering |

| | | |
|---|-----|----------------------------------|
| Scientist familiar with natural hazards in local area | No | |
| Emergency manager | Yes | Homeland Security, Public Safety |
| Grant writers | Yes | Econ. Development. |

| TABLE: NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM COMPLIANCE | |
|---|--|
| What department is responsible for floodplain management in your jurisdiction? | Building Dept. |
| Who is your jurisdiction’s floodplain administrator? (department/position) | Building Commissioner by ordinance |
| Are any certified floodplain managers on staff in your jurisdiction? | No |
| What is the date of adoption of your flood damage prevention ordinance? | 1985 1st Flood Plain Ord. |
| When was the most recent Community Assistance Visit or Community Assistance Contact? | Mid 2013 |
| Does your jurisdiction have any outstanding NFIP compliance violations that need to be addressed? If so, please state what they are. | No |
| Do your flood hazard maps adequately address the flood risk within your jurisdiction? (If no, please state why) | Yes |
| Does your floodplain management staff need any assistance or training to support its floodplain management program? If so, what type of assistance/training is needed? | No Our office has been providing Map Service to All Residents. |
| Does your jurisdiction participate in the Community Rating System (CRS)? If so, is your jurisdiction seeking to improve its CRS Classification? If not, is your jurisdiction interested in joining the CRS program? | No Yes, we are interested in participating |

| TABLE: COMMUNITY CLASSIFICATIONS | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| | Participating? | Classification | Date Classified |
| Community Rating System | yes | N/A | N/A |
| Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule | Yes | Unknown | Unknown |
| Public Protection/ISO | Yes | Unknown | Unknown |
| StormReady | Yes | Blue | 2002 |

| | | | |
|---------------|----|-----|-----|
| Tree City USA | No | N/A | N/A |
|---------------|----|-----|-----|

Jurisdiction-Specific Natural Hazard Event

The information provided below was solicited from the jurisdiction and supported by NOAA and other relevant data sources.

The *Natural Hazard Events Table* lists all past occurrences of natural hazards within the jurisdiction. Repetitive flood loss records are as follows:

- Number of FEMA-Identified Repetitive Loss Properties: 74
- Number of FEMA-Identified Severe Repetitive Loss Properties: 2
- Number of Repetitive Flood Loss/Severe Repetitive Loss Properties That Have Been Mitigated: 7

| TABLE: NATURAL HAZARD EVENTS | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------|--------------------------------------|
| Type of Event | FEMA Disaster Number (if applicable) | Date | Preliminary Damage Assessment |
| Severe Weather | - | 5/9/2018 | - |
| Severe Storms | DR-4116 | 2013 | - |
| Severe Winter Storms | DR-1960 | 2011 | - |
| Severe Weather/Flooding | DR-1935 | 2010 | - |
| Severe Weather/Flooding | DR-1800 | 2008 | - |
| Severe storm/Flooding | DR-1729 | 2007 | - |
| Severe Winter Storms | EM-3161 | 2000 | - |
| Winter Snow Storms | EM-3134 | 1999 | - |
| Flooding | DR-1188 | 1997 | - |
| Flooding | DR-1129 | 1996 | - |
| Severe Weather/Flooding | DR-997 | 1993 | - |
| Severe Weather/Flooding | DR-798 | 1987 | - |
| Severe Weather/Flooding | DR-776 | 1986 | - |

Jurisdiction-Specific Hazards and Impacts

Hazards that represent a county-wide risk are addressed in the Risk Assessment section of the 2019 Cook County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update. This section only addresses the hazards and their associated impacts that are **relevant** and **unique** to the municipality.

Flooding: Melrose Park continues to experience excessive urban/flash flooding, especially on Main Street

Hazard Risk Ranking

The *Hazard Risk Ranking Table* below presents the ranking of the hazards of concern. Hazard area extent and location maps are included at the end of this chapter. These maps are based on the best available data at the time of the preparation of this plan, and are considered to be adequate for planning purposes.

| TABLE: HAZARD RISK RANKING | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Rank | Hazard Type | Risk Rating Score (Probability x Impact) |
| 1 | Severe Weather | 51 |
| 2 | Severe Winter Weather | 51 |
| 3 | Tornado | 39 |
| 4 | Flood | 36 |
| 5 | Earthquake | 32 |
| 6 | Drought | 4 |
| 7 | Dam Failure | 0 |

Mitigation Strategies and Actions

The heart of the mitigation plan is the mitigation strategy, which serves as the long-term blueprint for reducing the potential losses identified in the risk assessment. The mitigation strategy describes how the community will accomplish the overall purpose, or mission, of the planning process. In this section, mitigation actions/projects were updated/amended, identified, evaluated, and prioritized. This section is organized as follows:

- New Mitigation Actions - New actions identified during this 2019 update process
- Ongoing Mitigation Actions - Ongoing actions with no definitive end or that are still in progress. During the 2019 update, these "ongoing" mitigation actions and projects were modified and/or amended, as needed.
- Completed Mitigation Actions - An archive of all identified and completed projects, including completed actions since 2014.

The *Hazard Mitigation Action Plan Matrix Table* below lists the actions that make up the jurisdiction’s hazard mitigation plan. The *Mitigation Strategy Priority Schedule Table* identifies the priority for each action.

| TABLE: HAZARD MITIGATION ACTION PLAN MATRIX | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Status | Hazards Mitigated | Objectives Met | Lead Agencies | Estimated Cost | Sources of Funding | Timeline/Projected Completion Date (a) |
| Action M5.1 —Where appropriate, support retrofitting, purchase, or relocation of structures in hazard-prone areas to prevent future structure damage. | | | | | | |
| Ongoing | All | 7, 13 | Village | High | FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grants | Long-term (depending on funding) |
| Action M5.2 —Continue to support the countywide actions identified in this plan. | | | | | | |
| Ongoing | All | All | Village | Low | General Fund | Short- and long-term |
| Action M5.3 —Actively participate in the plan maintenance strategy identified in this plan | | | | | | |
| Ongoing | All | 3, 4, 6 | DHSEM Village | Low | General Fund | Short-term |
| Action M5.4 —Consider participation in incentive-based programs such as the Community Rating System, Tree City, and StormReady. | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------|--------------------|--|------------------------|
| Completed | All | 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13 | Village | Low | General Fund | Completed |
| Action M5.5 —Maintain Good standing under the National Flood Insurance Program. | | | | | | |
| Ongoing | Flooding | 4, 6, 9 | Village | Low | General Fund | Short-term and ongoing |
| Action M5.6 —Where feasible, implement a program to record high water marks following high-water events. | | | | | | |
| Ongoing | Flooding, Severe Weather | 3, 6, 9 | Village | Medium | General fund; FEMA Grant Funds (Public Assistance) | Long-term |
| Action M5.7 —Integrate the hazard mitigation plan into other plans, programs, or resources that dictate land use or redevelopment. | | | | | | |
| Ongoing | All | 3, 4, 6, 10, 13 | Village | Low | General Fund | Short-term |
| Action M5.8 —Implement the Addison Creek Channel Improvements | | | | | | |
| New | Flooding | 2, 3, 9, 13 | MWRD | \$43,400,000; High | MWRD | Short-term |
| Action M5.9 —Implement the Creek Flooding Abatement | | | | | | |
| New | Flooding | 2, 3, 9, 13 | TBD | TBD | TBD | TBD |
| (a) Ongoing indicates continuation of an action that is already in place. Short-term indicates implementation within five years. Long-term indicates implementation after five years. | | | | | | |

| TABLE: MITIGATION STRATEGY PRIORITY SCHEDULE | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|----------|-------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--------------|
| Action Number | Number of Objectives Met | Benefits | Costs | Do Benefits Equal or Exceed Costs? | Is Project Grant-Eligible? | Can Project Be Funded Under Existing Programs/Budgets? | Priority (a) |
| 1 | 2 | High | High | Yes | Yes | No | Medium |
| 2 | 13 | Medium | Low | Yes | No | Yes | High |
| 3 | 3 | Medium | Low | Yes | Yes | Yes | High |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------|---------|---------|-----|---------|--------|
| 4 | 9 | Medium | Low | Yes | No | Yes | Medium |
| 5 | 3 | Medium | Low | Yes | No | Yes | High |
| 6 | 3 | Medium | Medium | Yes | Yes | No | Medium |
| 7 | 5 | Medium | Low | Yes | No | Yes | High |
| 8 | 4 | High | High | Yes | Yes | Unknown | High |
| 9 | 4 | Medium | Unknown | Unknown | Yes | Unknown | Medium |

(a) See Chapter 1 for explanation of priorities.

New Mitigation Actions

The following are new mitigation actions created during the 2019 update.

Action M-5.8

| | |
|---|--|
| Mitigation Action | Implement the Addison Creek Channel Improvements |
| Year Initiated | 2019 |
| Applicable Jurisdiction | Village of Melrose Park |
| Lead Agency/Organization | MWRD |
| Supporting Agencies/Organizations | Village of Melrose Park |
| Applicable Goal | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop and implement sustainable, cost-effective, and environmentally sound risk-reduction (mitigation) projects. • Protect the lives, health, safety, and property of the citizens of Cook County from the impacts of natural hazards. • Protect public services and critical facilities, including infrastructure, from loss of use during natural hazard events and potential damage from such activities. |
| Applicable Objective | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase the resilience of (or protect and maintain) infrastructure and critical facilities. • Consider the impacts of natural hazards on future land uses in the planning area, including possible impacts from climate change. • Provide or improve flood protection on a watershed basis with flood control structures and drainage maintenance plans. • Encourage hazard mitigation measures that result in the least adverse effect on the natural environment and that use natural processes. |
| Potential Funding Source | MWRD |
| Estimated Cost | \$43,400,000 |
| Benefits (loss avoided) | TBD |
| Projected Completion Date | TBD |
| Priority and Level of Importance (Low, Medium, High) | High |
| Benefit Analysis (Low, Medium, High) | High |
| Cost Analysis (Low, Medium, High) | High |

| | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Actual Completion Date | |
|-------------------------------|--|

| Recommended Mitigation Action/Implementation Plan and Project Description | |
|--|---|
| Action/Implementation Plan and Project Description: | ID: ADCR-6B Contract: 11-187-3F Watershed: Lower Des Plaines Location: Northlake, Melrose Park, Stone Park, Bellwood, Westchester, and Broadview, IL Improves channel conveyance through channel improvements from Northlake to Broadview that include open channel, soldier piles wall, articulated concrete blocks, gabions, and channel clearing. Removal of 3 bridges along Harrison St. at 30th Ave., 31st Ave., and 32nd Ave. |

| Mitigation Action and Project Maintenance | | |
|--|---------------|--|
| Year | Status | Comments |
| 2019 | New | Executed intergovernmental agreements with all six villages. Final design. Right-of-way acquisition in progress. |
| 2020 | | |
| 2021 | | |
| 2022 | | |
| 2023 | | |

| Mitigated Hazards | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| | All Hazards |
| | Dam/Levee Failure |
| | Drought |
| | Earthquake |
| X | Flood |
| | Extreme Heat |
| | Lightning |
| | Hail |
| | Fog |
| | High Wind |
| | Snow |
| | Blizzard |
| | Extreme Cold |
| | Ice Storms |
| | Tornado |
| | Epidemic or pandemic |
| | Nuclear Power Plant Incident |

| | |
|--|--|
| | Widespread Power Outage |
| | Coastal Erosion |
| | Secondary Impacts from Mass Influx of Evacuees |
| | Hazardous Materials Incident |

Action M-5.9

| | |
|---|--|
| Mitigation Action | Implement the Creek Flooding Abatement |
| Year Initiated | 2019 |
| Applicable Jurisdiction | Village of Melrose Park |
| Lead Agency/Organization | |
| Supporting Agencies/Organizations | Melrose Park Water Shed Comm. |
| Applicable Goal | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Protect the lives, health, safety, and property of the citizens of Cook County from the impacts of natural hazards. |
| Applicable Objective | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase the resilience of (or protect and maintain) infrastructure and critical facilities. Consider the impacts of natural hazards on future land uses in the planning area, including possible impacts from climate change. Provide or improve flood protection on a watershed basis with flood control structures and drainage maintenance plans. Encourage hazard mitigation measures that result in the least adverse effect on the natural environment and that use natural processes. |
| Potential Funding Source | TBD |
| Estimated Cost | TBD |
| Benefits (loss avoided) | Reduce flooding |
| Projected Completion Date | TBD |
| Priority and Level of Importance (Low, Medium, High) | Medium Priority |
| Benefit Analysis (Low, Medium, High) | Medium—Project will have a long-term impact on the reduction of risk exposure for life and property, or project will provide an immediate reduction in the risk exposure for property. |
| Cost Analysis (Low, Medium, High) | TBD |
| Actual Completion Date | |

| Recommended Mitigation Action/Implementation Plan and Project Description | |
|---|--|
| Action/Implementation Plan and Project Description: | |

| Mitigation Action and Project Maintenance |
|---|
|---|

| Year | Status | Comments |
|------|--------|----------|
| 2019 | New | |
| 2020 | | |
| 2021 | | |
| 2022 | | |
| 2023 | | |

| Mitigated Hazards | |
|-------------------|--|
| | All Hazards |
| | Dam/Levee Failure |
| | Drought |
| | Earthquake |
| X | Flood |
| | Extreme Heat |
| | Lightning |
| | Hail |
| | Fog |
| | High Wind |
| | Snow |
| | Blizzard |
| | Extreme Cold |
| | Ice Storms |
| | Tornado |
| | Epidemic or pandemic |
| | Nuclear Power Plant Incident |
| | Widespread Power Outage |
| | Coastal Erosion |
| | Secondary Impacts from Mass Influx of Evacuees |
| | Hazardous Materials Incident |

Ongoing Mitigation Actions

The following are ongoing actions with no definitive end or that are still in progress. During the 2019 update, these "ongoing" mitigation actions and projects were modified and/or amended, as needed.

Action M-5.1

| TABLE: ACTION PLAN MATRIX | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|
| Action Number Action Taken Y/N | Action Item Description | Status (X, O, C, R, N) |
| # M-5.1 | Where appropriate support retrofitting, purchase, or relocation of structures in hazard-prone areas to prevent future structure damage. | |
| Status Description: No | Under review | X |
| <p align="center">Completion status legend: N = New O = Action Ongoing toward Completion C = Project Completed R = Want Removed from Annex X = No Action Taken</p> | | |

Action M-5.2

| TABLE: ACTION PLAN MATRIX | | |
|---|--|------------------------------|
| Action Number Action Taken Y/N | Action Item Description | Status (X, O, C, R, N) |
| # M-5.2 | Continue to support the countywide actions identified in this plan | |
| Status Description: No | Under review | X |
| <p align="center">Completion status legend: N = New O = Action Ongoing toward Completion C = Project Completed R = Want Removed from Annex X = No Action Taken</p> | | |

Action M-5.3

| TABLE: ACTION PLAN MATRIX | | |
|--|---|------------------------------|
| Action Number Action Taken Y/N | Action Item Description | Status (X, O, C, R, N) |
| # M-5.3 | Actively participate maintenance strategy identified in this plan | |
| Status Description: No | Under review | X |
| <p align="center">Completion status legend:</p> <p align="center"> N = New O = Action Ongoing toward Completion C = Project Completed R = Want Removed from Annex X = No Action Taken </p> | | |

Action M-5.5

| TABLE: ACTION PLAN MATRIX | | |
|--|--|------------------------------|
| Action Number Action Taken Y/N | Action Item Description | Status (X, O, C, R, N) |
| # M-5.5 | Maintain Good standing under the National Flood Insurance Program. | |
| Status Description: | | O |
| <p align="center">Completion status legend:</p> <p align="center"> N = New O = Action Ongoing toward Completion C = Project Completed R = Want Removed from Annex X = No Action Taken </p> | | |

Action M-5.6

| TABLE: ACTION PLAN MATRIX | | |
|---|--|------------------------------|
| Action Number Action Taken Y/N | Action Item Description | Status (X, O, C, R, N) |
| # M-5.6 | Where feasible implement a program to record high water marks following high water events. | |
| Status Description: No | Under review | X |
| <p align="center">Completion status legend: N = New O = Action Ongoing toward Completion C = Project Completed R = Want Removed from Annex X = No Action Taken</p> | | |

Action M-5.7

| TABLE: ACTION PLAN MATRIX | | |
|---|--|------------------------------|
| Action Number Action Taken Y/N | Action Item Description | Status (X, O, C, R, N) |
| # M-5.7 | Integrate the hazard mitigation plan into other plans, programs or resources that dictate land use or redevelopment. | |
| Status Description: No | Under review | X |
| <p align="center">Completion status legend: N = New O = Action Ongoing toward Completion C = Project Completed R = Want Removed from Annex X = No Action Taken</p> | | |

Completed Mitigation Actions

The following section represents completed mitigation actions, and serves as an archive of identified and completed projects.

Action M-5.4

| TABLE: ACTION PLAN MATRIX | | |
|--|--|------------------------------|
| Action Number Action Taken Y/N | Action Item Description | Status (X, O, C, R, N) |
| # M-5.4 | Consider participation in incentive based programs such as the Community Rating System, Tree City and Storm Ready. | |
| Status Description: | Storm Ready completed. Community Rating System completed. | C |
| <p>Completion status legend: N = New O = Action Ongoing toward Completion C = Project Completed R = Want Removed from Annex X = No Action Taken</p> | | |

Future Needs to Better Understand Risk/Vulnerability

No needs have been identified at this time.

Additional Comments

No additional comments at this time

HAZUS-MH Risk Assessment Results

| MELROSE PARK EXISTING CONDITIONS | |
|---|-----------------|
| 2010 Population | 25,411 |
| Total Assessed Value of Structures and Contents | \$8,390,132,925 |
| Area in 100-Year Floodplain | 346.27 acres |
| Area in 500-Year Floodplain | 480.02 acres |
| Number of Critical Facilities | 76 |

| HAZARD EXPOSURE IN MELROSE PARK | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Number Exposed | | Value Exposed to Hazard | | Total | % of Total Assessed Value Exposed |
| | Population | Buildings | Structure | Contents | | |
| Dam Failure | | | | | | |
| Buffalo Creek | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| U. Salt Cr. #2 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| Touhy | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| U. Salt Cr. #3 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| U. Salt Cr. #4 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| Flood | | | | | | |
| 100-Year | 2,243 | 690 | \$478,758,426 | \$445,868,239 | \$924,626,665 | 11.02% |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------|-----|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|--------|
| 500-Year | 3,156 | 971 | \$616,667,430 | \$566,067,976 | \$1,182,735,406 | 14.10% |
| Tornado | | | | | | |
| 100-Year | — | — | \$1,332,654,187 | 1,337,980,321 | \$2,670,634,507 | 31.83% |
| 500-Year | — | — | \$1,371,499,065 | \$1,052,688,390 | \$2,424,187,455 | 28.89% |

| ESTIMATED PROPERTY DAMAGE VALUES IN MELROSE PARK | | | | |
|---|---|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Estimated Damage Associated with Hazard | | | % of Total Assessed Value Damaged |
| | Building | Contents | Total | |
| Dam Failure | | | | |
| Buffalo Creek | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| U. Salt Cr. #2 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| Touhy | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| U. Salt Cr. #3 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| U. Salt Cr. #4 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| Earthquake | | | | |
| 1909 Historical Event | \$46,674,377 | \$14,901,484 | \$61,575,861 | 0.73% |
| Flood | | | | |
| 10-Year | \$11,884,996 | \$8,564,102 | \$20,449,098 | 0.24% |
| 100-Year | \$36,463,292 | \$42,015,616 | \$78,478,908 | 0.94% |
| 500-Year | \$67,829,098 | \$101,592,551 | \$169,421,649 | 2.02% |

| Tornado | | | | |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|-------|
| 100-Year | \$133,265,419 | \$133,798,032 | \$267,063,451 | 3.18% |
| 500-Year | 200,238,863 | \$153,692,505 | \$353,931,368 | 4.22% |

Hazard Mapping





