## 6 Schiller Park 2021 MJ-HMP Jurisdictional Annual Report

#### **Primary Point of Contact**

Mike Cesaretti, Fire Chief 9526 Irving Park Rd Schiller Park, IL 60176 847-671-8545 Mcesaretti@schillerparkil.us

#### **Alternate Point of Contact**

Jim Goumas, Village Engineer Hancock Engineering 9933 Roosevelt Rd Westchester, IL 60154 708-865-0300 Jggoumas@ehancock.com

**Annual Reporting Year: 2021** 

**Background**: Cook County and participating municipalities in the county developed a hazard mitigation plan to reduce risk from all hazards by identifying resources, information, and strategies for risk reduction. The federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires state and local governments to develop hazard mitigation plans as a condition for federal disaster grant assistance. To prepare the plan, the participating partners organized resources, assessed risks from natural hazards within the county, developed planning goals and objectives, reviewed mitigation alternatives, and developed an action plan to address probable impacts from natural hazards. By completing this process, these jurisdictions maintained compliance with the Disaster Mitigation Act, achieving eligibility for mitigation grant funding opportunities afforded under the Robert T. Stafford Act.

**Purpose**: The purpose of this report is to provide an annual update on the implementation of the action plan identified in the Cook County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan. The objective is to ensure that there is a continuing and responsive planning process that will keep the Hazard Mitigation Plan dynamic and responsive to the needs and capabilities of the partner jurisdictions. This report discusses the following:

- Natural hazard events that have occurred within the last year
- · Changes in risk exposure within the planning area (all of Cook County) Mitigation success stories
- · Review of the action plan
- · Changes in capabilities that could impact plan implementation
- · Recommendations for changes/enhancement.

#### **Hazard Analysis**

Hazards that represent a county-wide risk are addressed in the Risk Assessment section of the 2019 Cook County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update. This section only addresses the hazards and their associated impacts that are **relevant** and **unique** to the municipality.

Flood: The Village has experienced flooding at River Road (Addison Rd to Foster Ave), Irving Park and Lawrence Ave viaduct flooding and flooding at Irving Park (25th to I-294). In 2009, Elmhurst Road between Dempster and West Oakton was closed due to urban/flash flooding. Mannheim Road between Interstate 190 and Irving Park Road was closed due to urban/flash flooding.

High Winds and Tornado: Trees and power lines have been impacted by past wind events and remain a concern.

Severe Weather: Thunderstorms are the primary cause of flooding and wind damage in the area.

#### **Natural Hazard Events in 2021**

**Instructions**: Please use the **Edit** Tool to describe new hazard occurrences/incidents from the past year that have impacted your jurisdiction and had a measurable impact on people or property. (Example: A tornado occurred on [Date] and impacted [insert area] and caused damage to [insert damages]). You may also use the Edit Tool to suggest any modifications/improvements to the hazards unique to your community, as described below from the 2019 plan.

Severe Weather: Thunderstorms are the primary cause of flooding and wind damage in the area.

### **Mitigation Strategies and Actions**

The heart of the mitigation plan is the mitigation strategy, which serves as the long-term blueprint for reducing the potential losses identified in the risk assessment. The mitigation strategy describes how the community will accomplish the overall purpose, or mission, of the planning process.

#### **New Mitigation Actions**

Please submit here

#### **Ongoing Mitigation Actions**

The following are ongoing actions. During the 2019 update, these "ongoing" mitigation actions and projects were modified and/or amended, as needed. Reviewers of this report should refer to the Hazard Mitigation Plan for more detailed descriptions of each action and the prioritization process. Address the following for each mitigation action:

- Was any element of the action carried out during the reporting period?
- If no action was completed, why?
- Is the timeline for implementation or the action still appropriate?
- If the action was completed, does it need to be changed or removed from the action plan?

# TABLE: HAZARD MITIGATION ACTION PLAN MATRIX

Completion status legend:
N = New O = Action Ongoing toward Completion

C = Project Completed

 $\mathbf{R}$  = Want Removed from Annex  $\mathbf{X}$  = No Action Taken

2021 Status	2020 Status	2019 Status	Hazards Mitigated	Objectives Met	Lead Agencies	Estimated Cost	Sources of Funding	Timeline/Projected Completion Date (a)	
<b>Action S3.1</b> —Where appropriate, support retrofitting, purchase, or relocation of structures in hazard-prone areas to prevent future structure damage. Give priority to properties with exposure to repetitive losses.									
Status Descrip	· · ·	sure to repetitive r							
0		Ongoing	All	7, 13	Village of Schiller Park	High	FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grants	Long-term (depending on funding)	
Action S3.2—	Continue to supp	oort the countywic	le actions identific	ed in this plan.	,	,			
Status Descrip	ption:								
0		Ongoing	All	All	Village of Schiller Park	Low	General Fund	Short-and long-term	
Action S3.3—/	Actively participa	ite in the plan ma	ntenance strateg	y identified in this	plan.				
Status Descrip	otion:								
0		Ongoing	All	3, 4, 6	DHSEM, Village of Schiller Park	Low	General Fund	Short-term	
Action S3.4—Consider participation in incentive-based programs such as the Community Rating System, Tree City, and StormReady.									
Status Descrip	otion:				1				
0		Ongoing	All	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13	Engineering and Community Development	Low	General Fund	Long-term	
requirements. S	Such programs i ce and informati		an adopted flood	damage preventi	n by implementing on ordinance, part			minimum NFIP ates, and providing	
					Community				
0		Ongoing	Flooding	4, 6, 9	Development, Engineering Department	Low	General Fund	Short-term and ongoing	
Action S3.6—\	Where feasible,	implement a prog	ram to record hig	h water marks fo	llowing high-water	events.			
Status Descrip	ption:								
-	-	Completed	Flooding, Severe Weather	3, 6, 9	Community Development, Public Works, Engineering Department	Medium	General Fund; FEMA Grant Funds (Public Assistance)	Completed	
Action S3.7—I	ntegrate the haz	zard mitigation pla	n into other plan	s, programs, or re	sources that dicta	te land use or red	evelopment.		
Status Descrip	otion:								
0		Ongoing	All	3, 4, 6, 10, 13	Community Development	Low	General Fund	Short-term	
Action S3.8—\	Nork with Buildin	ng Department to	improve Building	Code Effectiven	ess Grading Sche	dule classification	l.		
Status Descrip	otion:								
0		Ongoing	Earthquake, Severe Weather, Tornado	1, 2, 3, 4	Community Development, Building Department	Low	General Fund	Short-term	
				esting and to main who do not have th	ntain downspouts to them installed yet.	o be disconnecte	d from the munici	pal storm system;	
Status Descrip	otion:								
0		Ongoing	Flooding, Severe Weather	1, 2, 8, 13	Community Development, Public Works, Engineering Department	\$200,000; High	Grants, General revenue	Long-term	
Action S3.10—	-Initiate a GIS in	terface, where all	existing infrastru	cture, contours, d	lamages, etc. will b	pe identified.			
Status Descrip	ption:								

-	-	Completed	All	1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 12	All Departments	\$100,000; Low	General Funds, Operation and Maintenance	Completed			
Action S3.11—Working with CP/CN flooding at Lawrence viaduct											
Status Description:											
0		New	Flood	2, 7	CN CP railroad	\$300,000; High	State and Railroad	2021			
(a) Ongoing indicates continuation of an action that is already in place. Short-term indicates implementation within five years. Long-term indicates											

Mitigation Success Stories: Examples of mitigation success stories include, but are not limited to: received a Grant, completed a major mitigation project, etc.

implementation after five years.

**Future Needs:** Examples of future needs include, but are not limited to: receiving training to better understand the mitigation grant application process, studies that may be needed to better understand hazards/risks, etc.